## Suggestions for topics to be scrutinised - evaluation table

A Member/Officer suggesting a topic for scrutiny must complete this table as fully as possible. Completed tables will be presented to Overview \& Scrutiny for consideration.

| Proposer: Councillor/Officer |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Councillor Karen Collett |  |
| Topic recommended for <br> scrutiny: | West Hertfordshire Hospitals NHS Trust - charges for parking at Watford General Hospital |
| Please include as much detail as <br> is available about the specific <br> issues and areas which should <br> be included/excluded from the <br> review. Should the focus be on <br> past performance, future policy <br> or both? | To review the present charging policy to establish <br> - The basis for the current charges. <br> - The range of parking options and charges for patients, members of patients' families and <br> visitors, |
| Why have you recommended <br> this topic for scrutiny? | Cllr Collett feels that Watford residents are penalised for using the hospital's services. |
| What are the specific <br> outcomes you wish to see <br> from the review? | To establish how they compare with other Trusts locally and further afield; whether they are <br> "reasonable"; if there are options are they known and understood by visitors? <br> If appropriate, to make such recommendations to improve the Trust's parking and charging policy |


| Does the proposed item meet the following criteria? |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| It must affect a group or <br> community of people | Patients, their families and their visitors coming to Watford General Hospital. |
| It must relate to a service, event <br> or issue in which the council has <br> a significant stake | Healthcare and parking in Vicarage Ward |
| It must not have been a topic of <br> scrutiny within the last 12 months | Conforms. |
| It must not be an issue, such as | Conforms. |
| planning or licensing, which is |  |
| dealt with by another council |  |
| committee |  |
| Does the topic meet the | 1. Improve the health of the town and enhance its heritage <br> council's priorities? |
| 2. Enhance the town's 'clean \& green' environment <br> 3. Enhance the town's sustainability <br> 4. Enhance the town's economic prosperity and potential |  |
| 5. Supporting individuals and the community <br> 6. Securing an efficient, effective, value for money council |  |
| 7. Influence and partnership delivery |  |


| Factors to consider are <br> forthcoming milestones, <br> demands on the relevant service <br> area and member availability |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Does the topic involve a <br> Council partner or other <br> outside body? | West Hertfordshire Hospitals NHS Trust |
| Please complete the 'sign off' section at the end of this document |  |


| The following section to be completed by Democratic Services |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Consultation with relevant <br> Heads of Service <br> (this section to be completed by <br> Democratic Services) | It is important to ensure that the relevant service can support a review by providing the necessary <br> documents and attending meetings as necessary. The Head of Service's comments should be <br> obtained before the request to hold a review is put to the Overview \& Scrutiny Committee. |
| Has the relevant Head of Service <br> been consulted? | Yes/no (if no, please give reason) <br> Not applicable |
| Is this a topic which the service <br> department(s) is able to support. | Include HoS comments here: <br> Not applicable |

When was the last time this Never
service was the subject of a scrutiny review?

Sign off

| Councillor/Officer | Date | Head of Service | date |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| M A Watkin, Chair of Overview and <br> Scrutiny Committee | $26 / 7 / 2011$ |  |  |

